IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 27TH JULY 2007 / 5TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 2834 of 2004(K)

PETITIONER:

ASOKA KUMAR G.S., SUDHARMA VIHAR,
PIDAVUR P.O., PATHANAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.K.J.JOSE
SRI.V.C.JAMES
SRI.PAUL JOSE

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. INDIAN OIL CORPORATION LTD.
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, CHDENNAI.

2. SENIOR AREA MANAGER, INDIAN OIL
CORPORATION LTD., PANAMPALLY NAGAR, COCHIN-36

3. MRS.JAYASHREE, SANDYA DEVI L.P.,
KALANIVAS, KAPPIL P.O., EDAVA TRIVANDRUM.

BY ADV. SRI. SRI.E.K.NANDAKUMAR
SRI.A.K.JAYASANKAR NAMBIAR
SMT.PRIYA MAHESH
SMT.PRIYA MANJOORAN
SRI.N.JAMES KOSHY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 27/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C) NO: 2834/2004

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1. COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION BY THE R1.

EXT.P2. COPY OF THE LETTER BY THE R2 TO THE PETITIONER REQUESTING TO APPEAR FOR THE INTERVIEW DT. 17/10/03.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS

EXT.R3A. COPY OF THE LETTER OF INTENT DT. 5/11/03.

EXT.R3B. COPY OF THE SANCTION ORDER DT. 22/304.

EXT.R3C. COPY OF THE LETTER OF INTENT DT. 17/5/04.

True copy

tga

KURIAN JOSEPH J.

W.P.(C) No.2834 of 2004

Dated 27th July, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner approached this Court aggrieved by the selection of the third respondent as the Indane Distributor. One of the main contentions taken by the petitioner is that the petitioner should have been given preference, he being from Kollam District and the third respondent being from Thiruvananthapuram. However, it is seen from the counter affidavits that in the selection, the third respondent secured higher marks and hence there is no question of any preference being given to the petitioner. The question of preference arises only if the candidates secure equal marks. Thus, there is no merit in the writ petition. It is dismissed.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

tgs

KURIAN JOSEPH, J.

O.P. NO. OF 200

J U D G M E N T

Dated 27th July, 2007.